

1	KEKER & VAN NEST LLP STUART L. GASNER - # 164675				
2	sgasner@kvn.com AJAY S. KRISHNAN - # 222476				
3	akrishnan@kvn.com				
4	633 Battery Street San Francisco, CA 94111-1809				
5	Telephone: (415) 391-5400 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188				
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant NEOCONIX, INC.				
7	BAKER HOSTETLER	HARVEY SISKIND LLP			
8	ERICH M. FALKE	NAOMI JANE GRAY			
9	efalke@bakerlaw.com Cira Centre, 12th Floor	ngray@harveysiskind.com 4 Embarcadero Center, 39th Floor			
10	2929 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19104-2891	San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 354-0100 Facsimile: (415) 391-7124			
11	Telephone: (215) 568-3100	Facsinine: (413) 391-7124			
12	Facsimile: (215) 568-3439				
13	Attorneys for Defendants R&D CIRCUITS, INC. and R&D SOCKETS, INC.				
14					
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
17	NEOCONIX, INC.,	Case No. 12-cv-06153 MMC			
18	Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO DISMISS THE CASE WITH			
19	v.	PREJUDICE			
20	R&D CIRCUITS, INC.,	Judge: Hon. Maxine M. Chesney			
21	Defendant,	Complaint Filed: December 4, 2012			
22	and	Trial Date: None Set			
23	R&D SOCKETS, INC.,				
24	Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff.				
25		_			
26					
27					
28					

Case3:12-cv-06153-MMC Document48 Filed09/05/14 Page2 of 3

1	Plaintiff Neoconix, Inc. ("Neoconix") and Defendants R&D Circuits, Inc. and R&D				
2	Sockets, Inc. ("R&D") have settled all claims between them and, by and through their counsel of				
3	record, hereby stipulate and request the Court order as follows:				
4	(1)	(1) Pursuant to the parties' Settlement and License Agreement, Neoconix's claims			
5		seeking a declaration of	of the inva	lidity of the patents-in-suit are dismissed	
6		without prejudice;			
7	(2) Pursuant to the parties' Settlement and License Agreement, all other claims,				
8	defenses, and counterclaims here at issue between Neoconix and R&D shall				
9		dismissed with prejudi	ce; and		
10	(3) Each party shall bear their own costs and attorneys' fees.				
11				Respectfully submitted,	
12	Dated: September	er 5, 2014		KEKER & VAN NEST LLP	
13					
14	В			/s/ Ajay S. Krishnan AJAY S. KRISHNAN	
15				Attorneys for Plaintiff	
16				NEOCÓNIX, INC.	
17	Dated: September	er 5, 2014		BAKER HOSTETLER	
18					
By: /s/Erich M. Falke				/s/ Erich M. Falke ERICH M. FALKE	
20				Attorneys for Defendants	
21				R&D CIRCUITS, INC. and R&D SOCKETS, INC.	
22				Rab Sockers, ive.	
23					
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
25				Mafine M. Cherry	
26	Dated: Septemb	mber 5 , 2014		How Maxine M. Chesney	
27				United States District Court Judge	
28					
			1		

ATTORNEY ATTESTATION OF CONCURRENCE

I hereby attest that I have obtained Defendants' concurrence in this filing, indicated by the
signature of Defendants' counsel represented by a "conformed" signature ("/s/") within this efiled
document.

Dated: September 5, 2014 KEKER & VAN NEST LLP

By: <u>/s/ Ajay S. Krishnan</u> AJAY S. KRISHNAN

23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |